Pathways of Care Longitudinal Study

The artist is a young person who grew up in care.

“The banner shows many pathways through the care system with a carer or caseworker acting as a guide, ultimately leading to independence for every young person. Whether we live with family or strangers, study, work, or just try our best, the paths we choose and are guided through in our youth are what we use to prepare ourselves for the happiest adulthood we can achieve” Billy Black

Children’s relationships in out-of-home care
Australian Social Policy Conference 27 September 2017
Professor Judy Cashmore
and Alan Taylor
Children’s relationships with their family and with their carers:

• What contact do children in out-of-home care have with their family (mother, father, siblings, extended family)?

• How do children see themselves in terms for the closeness of their relationships with their carer family household and their birth family?

• How does that vary by placement type? By age? Aboriginality?

• How does it change over time?

• What benefits and problems do carers report?
Interviews with children

Face-to-face interview for ages 7–11 years
Questions about school, friends, feelings, behaviour, casework, support and where they are living.

Self-complete interview for ages 12–17 years
- About school, work, friends
- About your health
- About how you are feeling
- About where they are living
- About casework & support
- Other thoughts
Child felt security (7-17 years)
Children’s relationships

Key factor in children’s socio-emotional development

- **Parents** – mother and father
- **Siblings** – complex picture/constellations in care and in family of origin
- **Grandparents** – maternal and paternal and extended family
- **Carers** – foster care and kinship care
- Peers at school / community / neighbourhood

➢ Felt security
Child’s closeness to carer household & family of origin

Pathways of Care Longitudinal Study Identifier: 970541, family: Foster family

Pathways of Care Longitudinal Study Identifier: 970541, family: Family of origin

7 year old Aboriginal boy in kinship care for 16 months
Child’s closeness to carer household & family of origin

15 year old male in foster care for 16 months
12+ CALD female in residential care: Close to GMo/aunt, no parents

12+ male in residential care: Close to one male friend
FELT ‘distances’ in carer household

• No difference by:
  • Type of care
  • Wave (1 v 2) or Aboriginality or time in placement
  • Number of ROSH reports or number of < 24 hour reports

• Older children — more distance between self & others

• Main difference by relationship and interacts with gender
  • Children closer to female carer than to others
  • Boys closer to grandmother than all others, and boys closer to uncle and grandfather than girls

• More analysis on configuration of ‘figures’ to come
Similar findings for family of origin

- Again main difference by relationship – but no interaction
  - Closest to mother and father and (maternal) grandmother

- No difference by:
  - Wave or Aboriginality or time in placement
  - Type of care
  - Number of ROSH reports or number of < 24 hour reports

- Older children — more distance between self & others
Main issues concerning family contact

Purpose? Age of child? Type of placement? Frequency?

• Possibility of reunification
• Child’s identity and emotional and behavioural development
• Enhance stability of placement – destabilising? For child and for carer?
• Sustainability over time – by parent, other family members, child and carer?

(Sen & Broadhurst, 2011; Humphreys & Kiraly, 2011 and others)
Children’s contact with parents by wave

Contact with neither parent – 10% to 20% by wave
Children’s contact with siblings by wave

Children in relative/kinship care more likely to be living with at least one sibling eg at Wave 1:
- 64.5% in kinship care
- 54.5% in foster care

Siblings not living with

Wave 1 | Wave 2 | Wave 3
--- | --- | ---
Foster | Residential | Kinship

Residential care: 
- $n = 25$ in Wave 1
- $n = 20$ in Wave 3
Frequency of contact: with mother

Only children with CBCL on all 3 waves
n = 424

- Most days
- At least weekly
- Less than weekly but at least monthly
- Less than monthly
- No contact/NA

Wave 3  Wave 2  Wave 1
Frequency of contact: with father

Only children with CBCL on all 3 waves
n = 424
Contact frequency and children’s socio-emotional development

- Regression analyses (preliminary) to test association between CBCL internalising and externalising T scores (also proportion of children in clinical range) over 3 waves with following factors:
  - **Frequency of contact** – at least monthly contact with family member [mother/father/sibs] vs less frequent or not at all
  - **Age of child**
  - **Type of care** – relative vs foster care
  - **Cultural background** – Aboriginal v non-Aboriginal children
Externalising and internalising: for mother, father and sibs

• **Frequency of contact** – no significant differences between ‘at least monthly’ vs less frequent or no contact

• **Type of care** – no significant effect – relative vs foster care

• **Cultural background** – no significant differences

• **Wave** – **signif drop** from wave 1 to waves 2 & 3 for externalising but increase for internalising from wave 1 to waves 2 & 3

• **Age** – **signif higher** T scores for under 3s cf older children
Carers’ perceptions of birth family contact

- Kin carers more positive than foster carers
- Carers of older children tend to be more positive than for younger children

Wave 1
Wave 2

% carers

Positive | Slightly positive | Neutral | Slightly negative | Negative
---|---|---|---|---
70 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10

Kin carers more positive than foster carers
Carers of older children tend to be more positive than for younger children
How well is contact meeting child's needs in maintaining relationships? by wave

Kin carers more positive than foster carers at both waves - and more so for younger children at Wave 1.
How well contact is meeting child’s needs by frequency of contact: with mother

- **Most days**: 85.7%
- **At least weekly**: 88.8%
- **Less than weekly but at least monthly**: 87.2%
- **Less than monthly**: Not specified
- **No contact**: Not specified
How well contact is meeting child’s needs by frequency of contact: with siblings

- **Most days**: [Diagram showing percentage distribution]
- **At least weekly**: [Diagram showing percentage distribution]
- **Less than weekly but at least monthly**: [Diagram showing percentage distribution]
- **Less than monthly**: [Diagram showing percentage distribution]
- **No contact**: [Diagram showing percentage distribution]

% of children by how well needs met

- Not at all well
- Not very well
- Fairly well
- Very well
How well is contact meeting child’s needs in maintaining family relationships?

- **Kinship care** – Perceived to be better than foster care
- **Aboriginal children** – Not as well as for non-Indigenous children, less likely to be in contact with mother at W1
- **Age** – Better for very young children (under 3 years) and not as well for children aged 12-17 years
- **Frequency** – Better when contact at least weekly with mother and at least monthly for father/siblings
Carers’ perceptions of contact with birth family

No problems

• About one in 3 carers in both waves report no problems
• Significantly fewer carers report problems in Wave 2 cf Wave 1 on interruption to sleep and routine – children older
• Nearly 3 times number of comments at Wave 1 called for increased contact (179 comments) than reduced contact (63 comments). Similar in wave 2
  -- frequency, consistency and length of visits, including overnight unsupervised visits
  -- for kinship and foster carers of Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal children.
Carers’ perceptions of contact with birth family

The most common problems **at both waves (1 & 2)** were:

1. Parents’ behaviour – kinship > foster carers
2. Parents cancelling not keeping to the appointment
3. Impact on the child’s wellbeing – foster > kinship carers
4. Disrupting child’s sleep/routine – foster > kinship carers

- Greater concern re impact on child for 3-5 & 6-11 year-olds
- Greater concern re disruption to sleep/routines for under 3’s
Carers’ perceptions of contact with birth family*

Less frequently reported problems

• Hostility between birth parent/s and carer – more in kinship care:
  o Kinship care – 15% both waves *
  o Foster care – 5% & 6.5%

• Distance and time – 17.8% in wave 1 and 13.3% in wave 2

• Few children not wanting contact – between 6% and 10%

• Very few parents not wanting contact – between 6% and 10%
  o 11 at wave 1 and 14 at wave 2

• Lack of casework / support – between 5% and 8%

* % of parents with contact reporting problems
Carers’ perceptions of contact with birth family

At present the child has no contact with any family members. His siblings are in Queensland but his parents are here in NSW. I would like some contact to be established with his family so that the child has those connections.

6–8 year-old Aboriginal boy in foster care

I would like to see the contact extended for a longer length of time at each contact visit. [At the moment it is 3 hours once every 2 months].

(Foster carer of under 3 year-old in foster care in Wave 2)

I would prefer no contact at all but if it has to happen the birth mother should come up here for the contact visits and not us having to go all the way to XX for the visits. (Foster carer of 3–5 year-old)
She was very distressed during contact visits when she was much younger and a lot of the stress was her being sent with a stranger in a car to those contact visits. There should be someone who could come and build a relationship with the child, especially when they’re very young so that when it comes to contact visits with the birth family, the child already has a familiarity with the transport person to save the anxiety and distress with unfamiliar people. *(Foster carer of under 3 year-old)*

I would like her mother to be able to visit here and see where the child lives, and be a part of our family with us. It would help the mother not to feel threatened, and it would help the child to know who she is.

*(Foster carer of under 3 year-old)*
Main findings

- Children are reporting good relationships with their carers that are substantially sustained from Wave 1 to Wave 2.
- Children are closer to their birth mother and foster mother – age effect but no time in placement or care type (though trend) effect.
- Most children are having regular contact with at least one parent and siblings – also with maternal grandparents and aunts/uncles, more than paternal relatives.
- Sibling contact well sustained over time – with siblings not living with and over half living with at least one sibling.
- Contact is generally seen by carers as meeting child’s needs – better in kinship care but less well for Aboriginal children.
- Kinship carers report more problems with parental behaviour and hostility, and less casework support especially with young children than foster carers in W1.
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Further Information

Pathways of Care Longitudinal Study Team:

Phone: 1800 997 960  
Email: Pathways@facs.nsw.com.au

Study DVD, information and publication clearinghouse